

EMERGING INSIGHTS

Credit and Savings to Support Smallholder Farmers in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from the Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative

Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative:

The Agricultural Technology Adoption Initiative (ATAI) has funded more than forty rigorous evaluations, the majority full-scale randomized controlled trials, addressing critical evidence gaps with robust, causal evidence. ATAI studies seek to advance practical understanding of the obstacles and opportunities critical to technology adoption for smallholders. The "Emerging Insights" series distills evidence from ATAI and complementary studies to broadly share the outcomes of the project as a means to inform programming and policy. The following brief focuses on improving credit and savings.

Context:

In the context of limited resources, the inherent seasonality of agricultural income limits farmers' access to standard microfinance-type capital for planting and growing season investments. Banks often do not lend to the agricultural sector. So, without liquid capital, farmers are constrained in their ability to invest optimally in productivity-enhancing agricultural technologies or practices.

Evidence-based insights:

- Access to capital has been proven to affect agricultural activity in several cases. Financial products can enable farmers to increase their investments, evident from increased crop-related expenditures (Crepon et al. 2015) (Tarozzi et al. 2013) (Beaman et al. 2014) and increased fertilizer use (Karlan et al. 2012) (Carter et al. 2013) (Pender 2008).
- Yet take up of credit products is generally low (Carter et al. 2013) (Banerjee et al. 2013) (Crepon et al. 2015) (Casaburi et al. 2014).
- Lack of access to credit is unlikely the primary constraint to adopting more optimal agricultural behaviors or investments; evidence shows that risk can constrain farmers' agricultural investment more than credit (Karlan et al. 2012). Therefore, increasing access to credit in isolation from addressing the risk that farmers face is unlikely to be effective in encouraging a gricultural technology a doption.

Where insufficient access to credit and savings mechanisms does critically constrain agricultural investment, what strategies viably increase smallholders' access to liquidity? Alternatives to group-liability microfinance models are considered given that financial providers are likely unwilling or unable to serve smallholders based on social guarantees given the dominant risk driving default (weather) is common to members in the group:

- Improved information about borrowers improves credit market performance, including repayment rates. Considering the risks, banks are often unwilling to lend if they do not know about a client's creditworthiness. Models that have shown improvements in lending outcomes for farmers include credit bureaus (De Janvry 2010) (which may not be cost-effective) and biometric identification of borrowers (Gine et al. 2010).
- Flexible collateral arrangements, like crop inventory or asset-collateralization, can encourage higher take up than traditional loans and perform as well (De Laat et al. forthcoming) (Fink et al. 2014). Credit schemes using in-kind collateral arrangements can still fail from insufficient take up, whether for reasons shared by any new credit scheme offer (e.g. lack of familiarity and/or trust, or prohibitively high costs to engage in new lending/trading relationships) or from uncertainty of future in-kind collateral value, particularly in volatile markets (Boucher et al. 2008) (Casaburi et al. 2014).

Strategies that account for farmers' seasonal distribution of income and the related seasonal variation of prices show promise:

- Using crops (grain) as collateral (via inventory credit schemes issued at harvest time) and/or savings (via storage solutions) can provide well-timed access to capital while protecting farmers from seasonal price fluctuation (Basu and Wong 2012) (Burke 2014). These more targeted credit interventions can be quite small and yet have relatively big impacts in the case of shallow markets with dramatic seasonal price fluctuations.
- Allowing farmers to delay repayment of a loan until after the harvest (Matsumoto et al. 2013) (Beaman et al. 2014), and/or helping farmers save for inputs from harvest until planting time (Duflo et al. 2008) can increase purchase of agricultural inputs.
- Allocating resources for particular purchases at particular times using labels or commitment devices can direct investment toward particular agricultural purchases or activities (Gine et al. 2010) (Gine et al. 2011) (Ashraf et al. 2006).

Credit and Savings: Future research

Giv en the evidence-based insights above, and current interest among related researchers and practitioners, ATAI suggests emphasis on the following topics to further understand effective credit and savings interventions for smallholders:

Emphasized:

- Lending products using flexible collateral (leasing): encourage loan take-up while providing well-timed access to capital
- Products (credit, savings, storage, etc.) based on timing in the agricultural cycle: financial products which
 account for seasonal fluctuations in farmer liquidity, optimal investment in inputs, and crop and input prices.
- Institutions that can bolster information about borrowers (credit bureaus, fingerprinting): facilitate dynamic
 incentives to improve credit market performance where social guarantees of repayment are undermined by
 aggregate risks.

De-Emphasized:

• Use of standard group liability microfinance in agriculture

Evidence cited

- Ashraf, N., Karlan, D., & Yin, W. (2006). Tying Odysseus to the mast: Evidence from a commitment savings product in the Philippines. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 121(2), 635-672.
- Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2013). The miracle of microfinance? Evidence from a randomized evaluation. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper 18950.
- Basu, K. & Wong, M. (2012). Evaluating seasonal food security programs in East Indonesia. Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MPRA) Working Paper 51219.
- Beaman, L., Karlan, D., Thuysbaert, B., & Udry, C. (2014). Self-Selection into Credit Markets: Evidence from Agriculture in Mali. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper 20387.
- Boucher, S. R., Carter, M. R., & Guirkinger, C. (2008). Risk rationing and wealth effects in credit markets: Theory and implications for agricultural development. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 90(2), 409-423.
- [ATAI] Burke, M. (2014) "Selling low and buying high: An arbitrage puzzle in Kenyan villages." Working Paper.
- Carter, M. R., Laajaj, R., & Yang, D. (2013). The Impact of Voucher Coupons on the Uptake of Fertilizer and Improved Seeds: Evidence from a Randomized Trial in Mozambique. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 95(5), 1345-1351.
- Casaburi, L., Glennerster, R., Suri, T., & Kamara, S. (2014). Providing collateral and improving product market access for smallholder farmers: A randomized evaluation of inventory credit in Sierra Leone. 3ie Impact Evaluation Report 14. July 2014.
- Crépon, B., Devoto, F., Duflo, E., & Pariente, W. (2015). Estimating the impact of microcredit on those who take it up: Evidence from a randomized experiment in Morocco. American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(1), 123-50.
- De Janvry, A., McIntosh C., & Sadoulet E. (2010). "The supply-and demand-side impacts of credit market information." Journal of Development Economics, 93(2), 173-188.
- [ATAI] De Laat, J., Jack W., Kremer M., & Suri T. (forthcoming). "Expanding Access to Micro Credit: The Role of Asset-Collateralized Loans."
- Duflo, E., Kremer, M., & Robinson, J. (2008). How high are rates of return to fertilizer? Evidence from field experiments in Kenya. American Economic Review, 98(2), 482-88.
- [ATAI] Fink, G., Kelsey Jack B., & Masiye F. (2014). Seasonal credit constraints and agricultural labor supply: Evidence from Zambia. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper 20218.
- Giné, X., Goldberg, J., & Yang, D. (2010). Identification strategy: A field experiment on dynamic incentives in rural credit markets. Working paper.
- Giné, X., Goldberg, J., Yang, D., & Brune, L. (2011). Commitments to Save: A Field Experiment in Rural Malawi. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 5748.
- Karlan, D., Kutsoati, E., McMillan, M., & Udry, C. (2010). Crop price indemnified loans for farmers: A pilot experiment in rural Ghana. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 78(1), 37-55.
- Matsumoto, T., Yamano, T., & Sserunkuuma, D. (2013). "Technology adoption in agriculture: evidence from experimental intervention in maize production in Uganda". In An African Green Revolution (pp. 261-278). Springer Netherlands.
- Tarozzi, A., Desai, J., & Johnson, K. (2013). On the impact of microcredit: Evidence from a randomized intervention in rural Ethiopia. Barcelona GSE Working Paper Series 741

The Center for Effective Global Action and The Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab